

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpba

Development and validation of a LC/MS/MS method for 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$, a metabolite of prostacyclin (PGI₂)

Derek L. Chappell^a, Xiaoyao Xiao^a, Waldemar Radziszewski^b, Omar F. Laterza^{a,*}

^a Clinical Development Laboratory, Merck Research Laboratories, Rahway, NJ 07065, United States ^b Clinical Pharmacology, Merck Research Laboratories, Rahway, NJ 07065, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 February 2011 Received in revised form 17 June 2011 Accepted 22 June 2011 Available online 29 June 2011

Keywords: 6-Keto PGF_{1α} 2,3-Dinor-6-keto PGF₁₀ Prostacyclin LC/MS/MS Vasorelaxation Analytical validation

ABSTRACT

A sensitive liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) method was developed for the quantitation of 6-keto PGF₁ α in human urine and plasma. Prostacyclin (PGI₂) is a locally acting prostanoid, which mediates vasorelaxation and inhibition of platelet aggregation. 6-Keto PGF₁ α is the most-immediate metabolite of PGI₂.

Samples were spiked with an internal standard (6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ -d₄), purified by immuno-affinity chromatography and selected reaction monitoring (SRM) was performed.

Analytical validation of the 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ assay was performed in urine. This included an assessment of assay precision, recovery, stability, sensitivity and linearity. Urinary 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ concentrations were also correlated to urinary 2,3-dinor-6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ (PGIM) concentrations using urine samples collected from 16 healthy volunteers. The mean concentration of 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in urine (mean \pm SD) was 92 ± 51 pg/ml or 168 ± 91 pg/mg creatinine. Overall, there was a statistically significant correlation between urinary 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ and PGIM ($r^2 = 0.55$, $p \le 0.001$; slope = 2.7; y-intercept = 130). However, PGIM was approximately 3-fold more abundant than 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in urine. In addition, 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ concentrations were measured in EDTA plasma samples obtained from 7 healthy donors. The mean concentration of 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in plasma was 1.9 ± 0.8 pg/ml (\pm SD).

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

PGI₂ is a prostanoid generated by the metabolism of arachidonic acid by cyclooxygenases (COXs) and PGI₂ synthase [1]. It is produced primarily by the vascular endothelium and it is known to inhibit platelet aggregation and induce vasorelaxation. PGI₂ is a local mediator (autocoid), is short lived, and is rapidly converted into its more stable metabolites. The most immediate hydration by-product of PGI₂ is 6-keto PGF_{1 α} (Fig. 1), which is further metabolized into PGIM. Historically, urinary PGIM has been considered a biomarker of systemic production of PGI₂, whereas urinary 6keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ has been considered a marker of renal production [2]. However, there is evidence that urinary PGIM could also be of renal origin [3]. Thus, urinary PGIM may not entirely represent the systemic production of PGI₂. Immuno-based methods for the detection of 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in plasma have been developed to assess the systemic PGI₂ production [4–6]. Notably, immunoassay-based methods for small molecules such as 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ are often complicated by cross-reactivity or non-specific binding that could affect the accurate measurements of these molecules in a complex matrix

E-mail address: omar_laterza@merck.com (O.F. Laterza).

such as plasma. Therefore, measurement of the most immediate PGI₂ metabolite by a sensitive and specific LC/MS/MS method could prove to be a useful analytical tool that can be used to better understand the physiology of PGI₂, as well as interventions affecting its *in vivo* production.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Reference materials

6-Keto PGF₁ α standard and anti-6-keto PGF₁ α affinity resin were purchased from Enzo Life Science (*formerly Assay Designs Inc.* Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The internal standard (IS), 6-keto PGF₁ α -d₄, was obtained from Cayman Chemical Inc. (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The chemical structures of 6-keto PGF₁ α and 6-keto PGF₁ α -d₄ are shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Reagents

Water with 0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). 1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and 5 M NaCl were purchased from Accu-GENE (Walkersville, MD, USA). Ethanol and phosphate buffered saline (PBS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO,

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 732 594 1486.

^{0731-7085/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2011.06.019



Fig. 1. Chemical structure of 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ and the IS, 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ -d₄.

USA). Polypropylene columns (3 ml, 0.20 μ m) were purchased from Varian, and the Atlantis column (dC18, 3 μ m, 2.1 mm \times 50 mm) from Waters Corporation. Antigen binding buffer (ABB) consisted of 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 0.1 M NaCl.

2.3. Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

The Shimadzu LC system (Columbia, MD, USA) consisted of a CBM-20A system controller, 2 LC-20AD pumps (A and B), a DGU-20A₃ degasser, a SIL-20AC auto sampler, a CTO-20A column oven, and a FCV-11AL valve unit. The column used was dC18 Atlantis 2.1 mm × 50 mm with a 3 μ m particle size. A gradient elution program is shown in Fig. 2. Mobile phase A consisted of water with 0.1% formic acid and mobile phase B consisted of acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid. The retention time for 6-keto PGF_{1 α} and the IS was approximately 4.5 min (Fig. 2). A sample volume was 25 μ l was injected at a flow rate of 400 μ l/min and a column temperature of 30 °C. Sample was diverted to waste from 0.0 min to 3.6 min, and switched to the mass spec from 3.6 min to 5.0 min to reduce contamination of the instrument. The cycle time of the method was 5 min per injection.

The detector used was an Applied Biosystems (MDS Sciex, Concord, Ontario, Canada) API 5000TM triple quadrupole mass spectrometer operating in selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode. Samples were ionized via electrospray ionization (ESI) in the negative mode. The ESI source was operated with ion spray voltage at -4500 V and heater temperature at 650 °C. Gas settings were as follows: curtain gas 35, collision gas 8, nebulizer gas 50, and heating gas 60. Dwell time per transition was 200 ms. Unit mass resolution was used in Q1 and Q3 to select the following SRM transitions with collision energies given in parenthesis: m/z 369.2 \rightarrow 245.1 (-35 eV)



602 **Table 1**

Flution buffer optimization	Bold denotes the condition ultimately selected

······································		y
Sample/elution buffer	IS peak area	% Recovery
IS Ctrl (no elution)	43,000	-
50% EtOH/2.5 M acetic acid	14,600	34
2.5 M acetic acid	23,000	53
Methanol	23,100	54
Ethanol	33,400	78
Methyl acetate	21,600	50
Ethyl acetate	10,400	24
2.5 M acetic acid Methanol Ethanol Methyl acetate Ethyl acetate	23,000 23,100 33,400 21,600 10,400	53 54 78 50 24

for 6-keto PGF_{1 α}, and *m*/*z* 373.1 \rightarrow 249.5 (-40 eV) for internal standard 6-keto PGF_{1 α}-d₄.

Data were processed using Analyst 1.4.2 software (MDS SCIEX). The calibration curve was generated by plotting peak area ratio between authentic (6-keto PGF₁ α) and IS (6-keto PGF₁ α -d₄) vs. the amount of authentic (pg/ml).

2.4. Preparation of standard curve and samples

Standard curves were generated by performing 2-fold serial dilutions of 500 pg/ml 6-keto PGF_{1 α} in ABB to 7.8 pg/ml for the urine assay, or 2-fold serial dilutions of 50 pg/ml 6-keto in ABB to 0.78 pg/ml for the plasma assay. The standard curve is subjected to the same process as samples/QCs, including affinity purification.

On the day of analysis, IS (6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ -d₄: 40 pg/ml final concentration in urine; 20 pg/ml in plasma) was added to an aliquot of sample (2.5 ml urine or 5 ml EDTA plasma)/standards/QCs in a 15 ml conical tube, followed by the addition of 300 µl Tris-HCl (pH 7.4, 1.0 M), and 200 μl anti-6-keto $\text{PGF}_{1\alpha}$ antibody affinity resin (25%, v/v, suspension in ABB). The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 h on a rocker and centrifuged for 20 min at 600 rpm. The 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ bound to the resin was eluted using an automated RapidTrace (Caliper Life Sciences) instrument with the following procedure: 2× column wash with 2 ml ABB, and elution of 6-keto PGF₁₀ with ethanol. The RapidTrace automates solid phase extraction using positive pressure and precise flow rates for column conditioning, sample loading, and collection. The workstation consisted of ten modules, resulting in a maximum of 100 samples being analyzed in a single run. Eluted samples were dried down under nitrogen gas using a Turbo Vap (Caliper Life Sciences) and reconstituted with 100 µl PBS/EtOH (50:50). Reconstituted samples were injected in the LC/MS/MS.

2.5. Analytical validation study design

Elution efficiency was determined by comparing the areas of IS from samples that were extracted using different extraction buffers, shown in Table 1. Percent recovery was calculated by comparing the IS area from each extracted sample to an IS area of a non-extracted sample.

The optimal amount of resin volume used to extract 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ from urine samples was determined by spiking the same

 Table 3

 Summary statistics for back-calculated calibration standards and urine QC samples

Table 2

Resin volume optimization. Bold denotes the condition ultimately selected.

Blank sample volume (ml)	Bead volume (µl)	Resin volume (25%, v/v, suspension)	IS peak area (counts)	% Change
2.5	50	200	35,600	-
2.5	100	400	34,400	-3.4
2.5	150	600	32,500	-8.7

amount of IS into three identical samples, each processed with increasing amounts of antibody resin, (Table 2). IS area was monitored to determine the most efficient volume.

In order for a run to be accepted, at least three-quarters of the standard points must have had accuracy within $\pm 15\%$ of the nominal value ($\pm 20\%$ at the lower standard). Three quality control (QC) samples containing different levels of 6-keto PGF_{1 α} were prepared for assay validation purposes: high (447 pg/ml), medium (162 pg/ml), and low (14 pg/ml). The high and medium QC samples were prepared by spiking 400 pg/ml and 100 pg/ml 6-keto PGF_{1 α} into a pooled urine sample, respectively. The low QC consisted of a 1:2 dilution of pooled urine sample with ABB.

Intra-assay precision was determined by measuring 6 individual replicates of the same sample in one run. Inter-assay precision was determined by measuring the same samples over n=5 runs. The limit of quantitation (LOQ) was estimated based on the lowest concentration that can be measured with a signal to noise ratio (S/N) of at least 5. The S/N ratio was measured using the S/N script on Analyst Software (Applied Biosystems). Dilution linearity was measured by serially diluting a urine sample in $1 \times$ PBS up to 1:16. Stability was assessed in each QC level by comparing observed concentrations of QCs that have undergone 3 additional freeze/thaw cycles, to QC samples that have not.

2.6. PGIM and creatinine measurement

PGIM was measured by a LC/MS/MS method, which was previously described [7]. Both PGIM and 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ levels were normalized against creatinine. Creatinine was measured by PPD Laboratories (Wilmington, NC).

2.7. Study subjects

Study subjects used for urine and plasma collection were healthy males, 18–45 years of age. The 16 urine samples were collected over a period of 6 h in the morning. EDTA plasma was obtained from 7 normal healthy volunteers. All samples were obtained with informed consent.

3. Results and discussion

Ethanol was selected as the optimal extraction buffer since it resulted in the highest IS recovery (78%) when compared to the

Conc (pg/ml)	STD 1 (7.80 pg/ml)	STD 2 (15.6 pg/ml)	STD 3 (31.3 pg/ml)	STD 4 (62.5 pg/ml)	STD 5 (125 pg/ml)	STD 6 (250 pg/ml)	STD 7 (500 pg/ml)	Low	Med	High (pg/ml)
Run 1	7.49	14.8	33.8	64.9	122	257	491	13.4	148	443
Run 2	8.30	16.9	32.7	60.6	132	248	493	13.0	171	460
Run 3	8.73	17.0	33.7	66.1	129	256	481	12.5	171	466
Run 4	7.45	17.1	31.4	63.3	126	250	497	14.3	161	417
Run 5	8.96	17.3	35.1	65.4	129	255	481	15.7	160	450
Mean	8.19	16.6	33.3	64.1	128	253	489	13.8	162	447
S.D.	0.70	1.03	1.38	2.19	3.78	3.96	7.27	1.26	9.52	19.07
% CV	8.5	6.2	4.1	3.4	3.0	1.6	1.5	9.1	5.9	4.3
% DIFF	5.0	6.4	6.4	2.6	2.4	1.2	-2.2	-	-	-

Table 4 Dilution linearity.



Fig. 3. Correlation between PGIM and 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in human urine.

other extraction solvents (Table 1). The most efficient volume of antibody beads was 50 μ l, or 200 μ l 25% (v/v) resin suspension (Table 2). The LOQ of the assay was determined to be 1.6 pg/ml based on a signal to noise ratio >5.0 for a buffer sample containing 1.6 pg/ml of 6-keto PGF₁(n = 7). Intra-assay precision was found to be 11.2%. The inter-assay precision for the urine assay ranged from 4.3 to 9.1% (Table 3). The assay was observed to be linear up to at least a 1:16 dilution (Table 4). Stability of all QC levels was found to be acceptable after 3 freeze/thaw cycles (<20% change). Spike recoveries for the medium and high QC samples were calculated to be 104% and 101%, respectively.

The concentrations of 6-keto PGF_{1 α} in the urine of 16 healthy volunteers ranged from 19 to 182 pg/ml (27–129 pg/mg creatinine). There was a relatively poor correlation, although statistically significant, with urinary PGI-M ($r^2 = 0.55$, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3). The concentrations of PGIM in urine were approximately 3-fold higher than 6-keto PGF_{1 α}. The levels of 6-keto PGF_{1 α} in the plasma of 7 healthy volunteers ranged from 0.9 to 3.2 pg/ml. The mean concentration was 1.9 ± 0.8 pg/ml (±SD).

In this study we analytically validated a 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ assay for human urine. All assay performance characteristics tested were found to be acceptable. This method can also be used to measure 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in plasma.

PGIM has been considered a marker of the systemic production of PGI₂, whereas 6-keto PGF_{1 α} has been thought to be a marker for the renal production of PGI₂ [8]. However, some PGIM may also be of renal origin since the kidney has been shown to have β oxidation capability. For instance, isolated rabbit kidney perfused with prostacyclin was shown to produce PGIM, indicating that the kidneys have the necessary enzymatic machinery to produce PGIM from PGI₂ [9]. Thus, urinary PGIM likely reflects both systemic and renal PGI₂ generation [3]. The lack of a good correlation between the 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ and PGIM levels in urine seen in this study may suggest these two analytes may originate from different organs. One of the aims of this study was to also develop an assay with the sufficient sensitivity to measure 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in human plasma. There is one previous report on the measurement of 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in human urine using a GC/MS/MS method [10]. The sensitivity of this assay, which was performed by Taylor Technology, Inc. (Princeton, NJ), was not as low as the LC/MS/MS described here (10 pg/ml vs. 1.6 pg/ml). We were able to modify the assay for the quantitation of 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in human plasma by using a larger volume of sample (5 ml). With this modification, the LOQ of the assay was reduced to 0.78 pg/ml, which allowed the quantitation of 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in all 7 plasma samples tested. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first described mass-spectrometry based assay with the sufficient sensitivity to measure 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in human plasma. There have been other studies which employed immuno-based assays to measure 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$ in human plasma. For instance two recent reports, which employed two different assays, indicate that the concentration of 6-keto in human plasma ranged approximately from 200 to 1000 pg/ml. This is approximately 500 times higher than the concentration measured by the LC/MS/MS assay described here. These high levels may not necessarily represent 6-keto $PGF_{1\alpha}$, but could be due to non-specific binding and/or cross reactivity that is observed with the immuno-based methods. These findings further emphasize the need for assays with greater specificity, such as that offered by mass-spectrometry based methods.

References

- R.J. Gryglewski, Prostacyclin among prostanoids, Pharmacol. Rep. 60 (2008) 3-11.
- [2] N.A. Flavahan, Balancing prostanoid activity in the human vascular system, Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 28 (2007) 106–110.
- [3] G.A. FitzGerald, A.R. Brash, P. Falardeau, J.A. Oates, Estimated rate of prostacyclin secretion into the circulation of normal man, J. Clin. Invest. 68 (1981) 1272–1276.
- [4] M. Bucher, F.K. Kees, B. Messmann, D. Lunz, S. Rath, M. Zelenka, H.J. Schlitt, J. Hobbhahn, Prostaglandin I₂ release following mesenteric traction during abdominal surgery is mediated by cyclooxygenase-1, Eur. J. Pharmacol. 536 (2006) 296–300.
- [5] W. Schramm, R.H. Smith, T.M. Jackson, P.A. Craig, H.E. Grates, L.L. Minton, Rapid solid-phase immunoassay for 6-keto prostaglandin F1 alpha on microplates, Clin. Chem. 36 (1990) 509–514.
- [6] V. Serebruany, A. Malinin, F.H. Qiu, X.C. Xu, C. Kunsch, R. Scott, Selective thromboxane inhibition after vascular protectant AGI-1067: results of assessment of lipoprotein profiles (ALPS) biomarkers in vitro and in vivo substudy, J. Thromb. Thrombolysis 27 (2009) 438–446.
- [7] J.I. Schwartz, A.L. Dallob, P.J. Larson, O.F. Laterza, J. Miller, J. Royalty, K.M. Snyder, D.L. Chappell, D.A. Hilliard, M.E. Flynn, P.F. Cavanaugh Jr., J.A. Wagner, Comparative inhibitory activity of etoricoxib, celecoxib, and diclofenac on COX-2 versus COX-1 in healthy subjects, J. Clin. Pharmacol. 48 (2008) 745– 754.
- [8] C. Patrono, M.J. Dunn, The clinical significance of inhibition of renal prostaglandin synthesis, Kidney Int. 32 (1987) 1–12.
- [9] B. Rosenkranz, C. Fischer, I. Reimann, K.E. Weimer, G. Beck, J.C. Frolich, Metabolism of prostacyclin and 6-keto-prostaglandin F1 alpha in man, Artery 8 (1980) 18–22.
- [10] A. Van Hecken, J.I. Schwartz, M. Depre, I. De Lepeleire, A. Dallob, W. Tanaka, K. Wynants, A. Buntinx, J. Arnout, P.H. Wong, D.L. Ebel, B.J. Gertz, P.J. De Schepper, Comparative inhibitory activity of rofecoxib, meloxicam, diclofenac, ibuprofen, and naproxen on COX-2 versus COX-1 in healthy volunteers, J. Clin. Pharmacol. 40 (2000) 1109–1120.